

**Nueces-Brownsville**

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
1.	Enterprise	Section 5.b	Proposal Deliverables	<p>Will CFE provide the hydraulic assumptions they used to determine that the fuel consumption for this project should not exceed 2%.</p> <p>a. Gas Flow Equation &amp; Friction Factor b. Roughness of pipe c. Temperature of flowing gas d. Heat Rate for compressor fuel consumption</p>	Respectfully declined. CFE will not provide this information. The Bidder is responsible for the design and development of the Project and ensuring its proposed fuel percentage does not exceed the 2% cap stipulated in the RFP.
2.	Enterprise	Section 5.a	Proposal Deliverables	<p>PHMSA regulations will not allow us to connect to a pipe with MAOP lower than the US pipe without "Over-pressure Protection." Since this connection will be made to the Tuxpan Line with a subsea connection, is CFE certifying they will comply with the PHMSA safety code in the design of the Tuxpan Line? Preliminary assumptions for the US pipe will be as follows:</p> <p>a. <math>\geq 42</math>" OD x 1.125" WT; X-70 Pipe <math>\approx</math> 2700 PSIG MAOP (Class 1) using ANSI 1500 Valves &amp; Fittings, or b. <math>\geq 48</math>" OD x 0.938" WT; X-70 Pipe <math>\approx</math> 1970 PSIG MAOP (Class 1) using ANSI 900 Valves &amp; Fittings</p>	CFE will include these specifications in the Sur de Texas RFP.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
3.	Enterprise	Appendix A	Header and pipeline conceptual design	Confirm the location for retrieving the pigs? a. Altamira, or b. Tuxpan	The winning bidders (Nueces-Brownsville and Sur de Texas-Tuxpan) will negotiate in the interconnection and operating agreement the location for retrieving/launching the pigs and the allocation of pigging expenses.
4.	Enterprise	Appendix A, Attachment A-1-2	Volumes/Pressures Table	Difference in redline and final Attachment A-1-2 Volumes/Pressures Table	The redline version had not been actualized. The clean Second Amended RFP version governs and controls. The schematic in Appendix A-1-1 will also be updated to conform.
5.	Spectra	Appendix C Page C-5	Technical	Please confirm that during the primary term the CFE will provide a volume of in kind fuel equal to the lesser of actual FL&U and the fuel rate quoted in the bidder's submission provided the quoted fuel rate shall be no higher than 2%. This will require the Transporter to periodically reconcile fuel retained in kind and actual FL&U and true up any imbalance with the Shipper in a manner to be negotiated in the TSA.	Confirmed. Confirmed. Please refer back to Appendix C "Fuel and lost and Unaccounted for Charges ("FL&U").
6.	Spectra	Appendix A	Technical	Please confirm the minimum pressure requirement for the 42" option at 2.6 bcf/d is 2500 # as shown in Attachment A-1-2 and not 2510 # as shown in Attachment A-1-1.	The <b>minimum</b> pressure requirement for the 42" option at 2.6 Bcf/d is <b>2,480 psig</b> as shown in the "clean version" of the Second Amended RFP. Please disregard the "Volume/Pressures Table" of Attachment A-1-2 of the redline version as well as the <b>maximum</b> pressure of the Attachment A-1-1 of 2,510 psig. The pressure for the 42" Option at 2.6 Bcf/d should be <b>2,514 psig</b> as is shown in the "Volume/Pressures Table" of Attachment A-1-2 of the Second Amended RFP clean version.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
7.	Spectra	Appendix A	Technical	Please confirm the minimum pressure requirement for the 48" option at 2.6 bcf/d is 1784 # as shown in Attachment A-1-2 and not 1810 # as shown in Attachment A-1-1.	The <b>minimum</b> pressure requirement for the 48" Option at 2.6 Bcf/d is <b>1,788 psig</b> as shown in the "clean version" of the Second Amended RFP. Please disregard the "Volume/Pressures Table" of Attachment A-1-2 of the redline version. The correct pressure of <b>1,810 psig</b> is <b>maximum</b> for the 48" Option at 2.6 Bcf/d is shown in Attachment A-1-1 and in the "Volume/Pressures Table" of Attachment A-1-2 of the Second Amended RFP clean version.
8.	Spectra	Section 5 RFP Proposal Deliverables –Page 7 and Appendix C Page C-4	Financial	Please confirm that variability in the monthly fuel rate cap is allowed outside the +/- 3% yearly rate variation limit (from any given month from the same month in the previous year) as long as the total cap doesn't exceed 2%.	Confirmed. Please refer back to Section 5 "Proposal Deliverables".

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
9.	TransCanada	Question num. 20 from September 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2015 and Second Amended RFP Oct. 2, 2015	Fiber Optic	<p>Based on Question num.20, in which CFE indicated that:</p> <p><i>“All fiber optic line capacity on the Brownsville Pipeline not needed for security, surveillance, telemetering and automation of the natural gas transportation process on the offshore portion of the Brownsville Pipeline shall terminate onshore at the southernmost point in Texas at or near where the Brownsville Pipeline travels offshore towards its offshore point of interconnection with the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline.”</i></p> <p>We kindly request the Commission to update the RFP with this information.</p>	This information is contained on Appendix B, page B-3 of the Second Amended and Restated RFP.
10.	TransCanada	Second Amended RFP Oct. 2, 2015	Section 2	<p>We kindly request the Commission to clarify in the RFP whether the entire US offshore segment and shore crossing must be the same diameter as the point of interconnection with the Interconnecting Mexico Pipeline at the Mexico/US border.</p>	Yes, all of the offshore pipe should be either 42” or 48”.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
11.	TransCanada	Question num.49 September 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2015	Interconnection	We kindly request CFE to further clarify its response to Question Num. 49 from the September 18 Q&A stating that “The Brownsville Pipeline Winning Bidder is the responsible for the offshore interconnection” to indicate that this responsibility is specific and limited to the completion of the final weld or flange connection at the point of interconnection.	The costs of interconnecting (including the final weld or flange), except for costs of U.S. and Mexico permits, respectively, are to be shared between the U.S. and Mexico winning bidders. The allocation of costs should be set forth in the interconnection and operating agreement between the winning bidders.
12.	TransCanada	Question num. 59 September 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2015	Attachment A-1-2	With reference to the answer from CFE to Question num.59, given that measurement of pressure will occur onshore and not at the point of interconnection at the US/Mexico border, please confirm that the pressure ranges specified in Attachment A-1-2 will be calculated by hydraulic simulation.	This is the responsibility of the Winning Bidder. Bidders must present in their proposals how the pressures will be calculated and ensure compliance with them.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
13.	TransCanada	Second Amended RFP Oct. 2, 2015	Appendix C Point 12. Rates and Surcharges	<p>As indicated in point 12 of Appendix C, in which CFE states “Generally, during commercial operations CFE shall not pay Demand/Reservation Fees for transportation services it does not receive for reasons other than Force Majeure (to be negotiated with Winning Bidder)”</p> <p>Consistent with point 4 of Appendix C, please confirm that in the statement above, the reference to “transportation service it does not receive” refers to cases where the Transporter is unable to deliver the quantity of gas nominated by the CFE and not to cases where the CFE nominates quantities less than its full reserved capacity.</p>	Confirmed. The demand charge credit will apply to any nominated volumes (up to MDQ) that CFE nominates but are not delivered.
14.	TransCanada	Second Amended RFP Oct. 2, 2015	Appendix A	<p>Appendix A of the RFP states “The meter on the Mexico/United States border will be used for measurement of custody transfer volumes and invoicing.”</p> <p>We kindly request that CFE adjust the previous statement to clarify that the custody transfer metering station will not be physically located on the Mexico/United States border.</p>	CFE will amend it in the Third Amended and Restated RFP.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
15.	Sempra	Appendix A		Please confirm that the verifications to be performed at the US/Mexico border, such as gas flow measurement and gas quality, can be confirmed virtually through procedures negotiated in the interconnection agreement with the winner of the Sur de Texas pipeline bid?	Confirmed (as long as the procedures for confirming and verifying meet with the corresponding Mexican regulations).
16.	Sempra	Appendix B		In the last Q&A session CFE verbally indicated that all fiber optic lines can terminate at the last on-shore compressor station; however the amended and restated RFP still states that all fiber optic line capacity <u>not needed</u> for security, surveillance, telemetry and automation shall terminate onshore and that Transporter shall use fiber optic lines on the offshore portion for security, surveillance, telemetry and automation. Please confirm whether all fiber optic lines can terminate onshore or if fiber optic lines for security, surveillance, telemetry and automation are required offshore.	All fiber optic lines must terminate onshore. But Transporter must provide another form of surveillance and monitoring for the offshore portion of the pipeline (which should be coordinated with the downstream Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline). CFE shall have an option at a later date to request Transporter to install fiber optic lines on the offshore portion of the Project, but those costs should not be included in Bidders' proposals as they will be negotiated with Transporter at a later time. Please see the Third Amended and Restated RFP for more details.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
17.	Dimension	Section 2, paragraph 8; 5.h; Appendix A, paragraph 8	Jurisdiction Nature; Route Selection, etc., Transporter Responsibilities	Please amend the RFP to clarify what is meant by the word 'means' in "...the means for obtaining all necessary regulatory approvals..." For example, does 'means' mean 'method', 'plan' or 'resources'?	"Means" = the method and also the resources needed to accomplish the task. Please fully support any proposals.
18.	Dimension	Section 2, paragraphs 11&12; Appendix C, 7.a) and 7.b); Appendix C, 15; Appendix D, Formation of JV	JV and Profit Sharing; Transfer of Ownership	Please confirm that in the event that the Project contracts with shippers other than CFE for transportation services and the Project installs facilities ("Third Party Facilities") to meet its obligations to such other shippers that are incremental to the facilities the Project installs to meet its obligations to CFE, the 49% ownership interest or profit sharing right to be transferred to CFE excludes such Third Party Facilities and CFE's ownership or profit sharing percentage in the Project will, therefore, be correspondingly lower than 49%.	Confirmed. CFE's ownership shall be 49% as related to its MDQ.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
19.	Dimension	Section 4.b; Appendix G, 1b)	Performance Bond	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please amend the RFP to include a year-by-year schedule for the value of the performance bond. In the alternative, please confirm that the value of the performance bond in year 23 is US\$7,890,662.56.</li> <li>2. Please amend the RFP to state what the value of the performance bond is starting in year 24 and, if non-zero, how its value is to be calculated in each succeeding year.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Confirmed. The RFP will not include a year-by-year schedule.</li> <li>2. At the end of year 23 the performance bond shall terminate.</li> </ol>
20.	Dimension	Section 4.c, paragraph 2	Funding Commitment	<p>The differences in the announced NPV between the winning Bidder and the highest back-up Bidder for the Waha-Presidio and the Waha-San Elizario RFP's appear to be approximately US\$154.2 million and US\$171.1 million respectively. In response to previous questions on this matter, CFE has declined to place a cap on the amount of the funding commitment, despite Bidder's complete lack of control over the amount. Please confirm again that it is CFE's intention that Bidder assume and incorporate this open-ended risk into its tariff with no adjustment mechanism once the actual amount becomes known.</p>	Confirmed.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
21.	Dimension	Section 5.a; Appendix C, 12	Rate Structure	Please confirm that there is no restriction on the month-to-month (contiguous months) variation in Bidder's rates other than the year-on-year of $\pm 3\%$ . Although not commercially practical but to illustrate the point, an extreme example would be a rate that doubles each month throughout the first year and is fixed on a yearly basis (the rate for every January is the same, the rate for every February is the same, etc.).	For the first 12 months the variation may not exceed 3% between month 1 and month 12 and for periods of 12 consecutive months each month may not vary by more than 3% in the same month of the previous period.
22.	Dimension	Section 5.a	Rate Structure Chart	Please confirm that the rate for the Nueces Header is to consist solely of a capacity charge and that there is to be no charge for usage, that is, the variable cost of operating and maintaining the Nueces Header, among other things, should be included in the usage charge for the Brownsville Pipeline, for example.	Your appreciation is not correct. The Bidder should exhibit his demand charge, usage charges and fiber percentage according Section 5, letters 'a' and 'b' of the Second Amended RFP. The rate structure is to be decided by each Bidder.
23.	Dimension	Section 5.b; 5.k; Appendix C, 13	Fuel Percentage; Operating Plan; Fuel and Lost and Unaccounted For	Please amend the RFP to clarify whether or not references to 'percentage of fuel', 'fuel rate', 'fuel costs', and similar such terminology includes lost and unaccounted for gas in each occurrence.	References to "fuel" in the RFP refer collectively to both compressor fuel and lost and unaccounted for gas. Please see the Third Amended and Restated RFP for clarifications.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
24.	Dimension	Section 5.b; 6.g	Fuel-Related Proposals	Please amend the RFP to clarify how a fuel-related proposal, if submitted by a Bidder, will be used to evaluate such Bidder's bid. For example, would such a fuel-related proposal be used solely in lieu of the fuel prices in Appendix H to determine the NPV of such Bidder's bid?	Bidders should submit fuel proposals as a percentage of fuel in relation to CFE's MDQ for each month of the 23-year term. Fuel percentages will be converted to cash based upon the hypothetical natural gas prices set forth in Appendix H and then added to transportation rates as part of the NPV calculation.
25.	Dimension	Section 5.c	Bidder's Experience	Please amend the RFP to clarify that a "...signed commitment letter is required from each contractor, sub-contractor, and/or service provider..." only if the experience of such contractor, and/or service provider is being used to demonstrate that Bidder has the background and experience to accomplish the Project.	Bidders should provide signed commitment letters from any contractors, sub-contractors, and/or any service providers whose performance is material to completion of the Project binding them to perform the services specified. This is important to showing that contractors, sub-contractors, and service providers that are material to the project have the availability and desire to participate in the Project, if Bidder is selected as the Winning Bidder.
26.	Dimension	Section 5.c	Project Costs	Please amend the RFP to clarify whether CFE is requiring (1) only the total Project cost or (2) a breakdown of the total Project cost and, if the latter, to provide the cost breakdown that is required.	All Bidders must provide a breakdown of the total Project costs as noted in Section 5, letters g and l of the Second Amended and Restated RFP.

**Bidder Questions and Answers**

<b>Num</b>	<b>Submitted By</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
27.	Dimension	Section 5.q	Key Personnel	Please amend the RFP to clarify what is meant by the word 'binding' as an unconditional commitment between Bidder and material contractors, sub-contractors, and/or any service providers does not seem practical at the bidding phase. For example, entering into a binding commitment letter with an EPC contractor without any conditions precedent, such as winning the RFP and executing the TSA, among many other things, does not seem practical at the bidding phase.	It is essential that Bidders can demonstrate the availability and commitment of suppliers, contractors, and service providers. These letters (with quotes or rates of the suppliers) show CFE that the amounts presented in your budget are real and not assumed. This is a common evaluation process, so please consider this point in your proposal. If Bidders do not provide binding commitment letters they will be disqualified.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
28.	Dimension	Section 6.d; Appendix A, paragraph 2	Tap Facilities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CFE has clarified that the Tap Facilities for the interconnections with TETCO/Spectra and Houston Pipe Line exclude flow measurement, gas quality measurement, pressure and flow control equipment, pigging equipment, and similar such equipment and facilities typical of an interconnection. Paragraph 10 of Appendix A requires that each point of receipt be equipped with continuous quality measurement, among other things. Please amend the RFP to remove this apparent conflict and to clarify that CFE is requiring that the Project provide no check measurement for these two interconnections which are being provided by CFE.</li> <li>Please amend the RFP to clarify whether or not CFE will be installing measurement, pressure and flow control, pigging and similar such interconnection equipment at the Tap Facilities at the Nueces Header for the TETCO/Spectra and Houston Pipe Line Interconnections and, if so, whether CFE or the Project will provide the space for such equipment.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirmed. CFE will amend the Third Amended and Restated RFP accordingly.</li> <li>The equipment listed in the question will be installed by CFE, TETCO/Spectra, or Houston Pipe Line, respectively. However, the Nueces Header should acquire the space needed for all of the interconnection facilities (including the equipment listed in the question). Responsibilities for the interconnection facilities should be set forth in the interconnection and operating agreement.</li> </ol>

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
29.	Dimension	Section 7.d; Appendix A, paragraph 8; Appendix C, 3.a	CFE Supervision; Project Construction	Please amend the RFP to clarify that the words 'supervise' and 'supervision' mean only monitoring and observing and in no way mean controlling or directing. For example 'supervise' and 'supervision' could be replaced with the words 'observe' and 'observers' respectively.	The Second Amended and Restated RFP says "The CFE technical representatives will observe the construction process and will not provide direction to the Winning Bidder or the Winning Bidder's contractors, sub-contractors, or service providers."
30.	Dimension	Appendix A, paragraph 3	Costs of Interconnecting Two (2) Expansion Pipelines	Please amend the RFP to specify that "...the cost of interconnecting two (2) expansion pipelines..." that are to be included in Bidder's rates consists solely of the cost of providing space for such interconnections and includes no facilities, not even tap facilities.	Bidder must provide space for the interconnection facilities and must provide tap facilities as described in the RFP.
31.	Dimension	Appendix A, paragraph 3	All Interconnections	Please amend the RFP to state that the cost, installation and space required for metering the TETCO/Spectra and Houston Pipe Line interconnections are the responsibility of CFE and not of Bidder.	Tap facilities must be provided by the Winning Bidder. The Winning Bidder shall be responsible for acquiring space for <u>all</u> interconnection equipment, including meter, pig launcher/receiver, and other facilities that will be installed by TETCO/Spectra, CFE, or HPL, respectively.
32.	Dimension	Appendix A, paragraph 6; Appendix C, 6	Interconnection and Operating Agreements	Please amend the RFP to clarify whether or not CFE has step-in rights with respect to the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline materially comparable to its step-in rights set forth in this RFP.	CFE has step-in-rights related to the interconnection with the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
33.	Dimension	Appendix A, paragraph 6; Appendix C, 6	Interconnection and Operating Agreements	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please amend the RFP to clarify that Transporter's obligation to "...reasonably assist with the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline's permitting efforts relating to the border crossing facilities" allows Bidder to charge the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline for any cost Bidder incurs for such assistance that it did not otherwise need to incur to accomplish the Project.</li> <li>2. Please amend the RFP to clarify whether or not the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline has such a materially comparable obligation to reasonably assist the Project with its permitting efforts relating to the border crossing facilities.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bidders should include their share of costs of the border interconnection in their proposals. Each of the Winning Bidder of Nueces-Brownsville and the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline will be responsible for half of the costs of interconnection (e.g., welding) and the details for coordination and costs of the interconnection should be provided in the interconnection and operating agreement between the U.S. and Mexico Winning Bidders.</li> <li>2. The Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline, shall be responsible for all permitting on the Mexico side of the border and shall also be responsible for providing assistance to the U.S. Winning Bidder with its U.S. permitting efforts.</li> </ol>
34.	Dimension	Appendix A, paragraph 7	Remote Flow Monitoring	Please amend the RFP to clarify what is meant by the word 'copy' in "...with a copy to Comision Federal de Electricidad...". That is, is this a requirement for sharing real-time SCADA, for sending a periodic report in hardcopy or digital format, or for some other periodic reporting requirement?	Confirmed. CFE meant sharing real-time SCADA.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
35.	Dimension	Appendix A, paragraph 9	Nueces Header	Please amend the RFP to clarify what is meant by the word 'provide' in "...Transporter shall provide meter size, receipt point locations, and estimated operating pressures...". For example, does this mean that Bidder is required to state this information in its bid? Or that Bidder must deliver this information to some other party at some not yet specified time? Or that Bidder shall merely determine such information on its own accord rather than receive it from CFE?	It is Bidder's responsibility to include the design, installation, operation and maintenance on his cost proposal including metering and receipt point locations and specify the operating pressures of each.
36.	Dimension	Appendix A, paragraph 10	Receipt and Delivery Points	Please amend the RFP to confirm that the stated measurement and SCADA equipment is required for the interconnection between the Brownsville Pipeline and the Nueces Header.	Confirmed. This will be amended in the Third Amended and Restated RFP.
37.	Dimension	Appendix A, Technical Specifications, Item 1	Meter near or on the Mexico/United States border	Please amend the RFP to clarify that the "...meter on the Mexico/United States border..." is the same as the "...meter near the Mexico/United States border..." set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph.	Confirmed. See Third Amended and Restated RFP. The Bidder's proposal will specify the exact localization of the meter station (onshore).

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
38.	Dimension	Appendix A, Technical Specifications, Item 3	Interconnection Assistance	Please amend the RFP to state whether or not the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline has a materially comparable obligation to assist the Project with "...designing the interconnect to address the need to detect and respond to leaks, monitor pipeline integrity and shut the system down in the event of an emergency."	The Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline shall be responsible for providing such assistance to the U.S. Winning Bidder. The responsibilities of monitoring the pipeline and shutting the system down should be set forth in the interconnection and operating agreement between the U.S. Winning Bidder and the winning bidder of the Interconnecting Mexican Pipeline.
39.	Dimension	Appendix A, Technical Specifications, Item 7	Reliability Standards	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please amend the RFP to state that the reliability standards are solely for design and operational planning and that there are no penalties in the event the Project fails to meet such reliability standards.</li> <li>2. Please amend the RFP to state how 'reliability' is to be calculated.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Project should be designed to comply with the reliability standards; actual reliability standards and the Shipper's remedy for Transporter's failure to achieve the reliability standards shall be negotiated with Winning Bidder, subject to the TSA terms set forth in Appendix C.</li> <li>2. Bidder's proposal must make its own reliability calculation. Scheduled maintenance and other terms related to non-delivery by Transporter shall be negotiated with the Winning Bidder and set forth in the TSA.</li> </ol>
40.	Dimension	Appendix A, Attachment A-1-1 and Attachment A-1-2	Maximum Interconnection Pressure	Please amend the RFP to resolve the conflict between the stated maximum pressure of 2,510 psig at 2,600 Bcf/d at the 42" interconnect with Sur de Texas-Tuxpan at the bottom of Attachment A-1-1 and the 2,514psig stated in Attachment A-1-2.	The amount of pressure on Attachment A-1-1 is incorrect. The correct amount is 2,514 psig, as provided on Attachment A-1-2. The image will be corrected on the Third Amended and Restated RFP.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
41.	Dimension	Appendix C, 3.b	Foundation Shipper	Please amend the RFP to provide a definition of “foundation shipper” and to itemize the rights and privileges thereof.	Foundation shipper rights are CFE’s rights that are related to CFE’s long-term financial commitment, which supports financing of the Project. CFE considers foundation shipper rights to include, but not be limited to: termination for convenience; most favored nation rates; JV; fiber optics; credit support; force majeure; and others.
42.	Dimension	Appendix C, 4	Tariff	Please amend the RFP to clarify whether the application of the tariff for the Nueces Header should be based on the volume of gas received into the header or delivered by the header into the Brownsville Pipeline.	The Header rate should be designed using 2.6 Bcf/d as the transportation volume. However, the Header should be designed to have a transportation capacity of 5 Bcf/d.
43.	Dimension	Appendix C, 4	Line Pack Gas	Please amend the RFP to clarify that only 49% of the line pack gas associated with capacity contracted by CFE “...shall be part of CFE’s 49% interest...”	Confirmed. The line pack gas shall be part of CFE’s 49%.
44.	Dimension	Appendix C, 9	Measurement	Please amend the RFP to clarify what is meant by “To the extent that no new measurement facilities are installed to provide service hereunder, measurement operations will continue in the manner in which they have been previously handled.” Can CFE provide an example of a situation where this might apply?	This is meant to encompass situations where the interconnecting pipeline provides measurement facilities and the Winning Bidder does not have responsibilities related to measurement facilities. Please see revisions in the Third Amended and Restated RFP.
45.	Dimension	Appendix C, 20	Performance Bond	Please amend the RFP to make this restating of the performance bond requirements consistent with the requirements stated elsewhere in the RFP, particularly in Section 4.b.	Section 4.b of the RFP has the amount, requirements and timetable that applies to the performance bond.

Bidder Questions and Answers					
Num	Submitted By	Reference	Subject	Question	Answer
46.	Dimension	Appendix C, 23	Arbitration	Please amend the RFP to spell out the organization referenced by "LCIA".	"LCIA" is the London Court of International Arbitration. See Third Amended and Restated RFP for a clarified definition.
47.	Dimension	Appendix C, 24b)ii)1)	Construction Schedule	Please amend the RFP to define "Construction Schedule".	"Construction Schedule" refers to a schedule of key milestones that must be met during construction. Please see the Third Amended and Restated RFP.
48.	Dimension	Appendix H	Gas Prices	Please amend the RFP to include a price for the year 2041 as 23-year term beginning on June 30, 2018 will end on June 29, 2041.	CFE will amend it in the Third Amended and Restated RFP.